UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,949	03/29/2007	Michael Rosenbauer	2003P01776WOUS	1548
BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			EXAMINER	
			WALDBAUM, SAMUEL A	
	100 BOSCH BOULEVARD NEW BERN, NC 28562			PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,949 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed January 12, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

2. Applicant is arguing that the prior art touch sensitive controller does not require a

relatively light touch. First off the applicant never identifies or defines what a relatively light

touch is, since relatively is a comparative term. Therefore a person touching the device is a

relatively light touch when somebody touched with say a hammer. Therefore the prior art

reference teaches using a relatively light touch to activate the switch.

3. The applicant is arguing that there is no support for using a touch sensitive controller that

is responsive to a electromagnetic field. It is well within the ordinary skill level of a person of

ordinary skill in the art to have known the type of touch controllers were available at the time the

invention was made, as shown by the cited prior art. Therefore it is obvious to one of ordinary

skill in the art at the time the invention to have used a touch sensitive controller that is responsive

to an electromagnetic field to yield the predictable result of activating the switches.

/FRANKIE L. STINSON/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792